

**From:** Robb Crifasi (RobbV@Crifasi.net)  
**To:** FRIENDSnFIENDS@crifasi.net  
**Date:** Friday, November 2, 2007 6:23:20 AM  
**Subject:** Tea - Knowledge is not given, it is learned

From: Robb Crifasi <robbv@crifasi.net>

To: TheInhabitant@charter.net  
 Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2007 10:49:14 PM  
 Subject: Fw: Tea - Knowledge is not given, it is learned

You have taught me well Obi.Won Inhabitant!

Please enjoy the fruits of your wisdom applied at my humble site, where you shall find your statement to the masses ***"It is not the function of our government to keep the Citizen from falling into error; it is the function of the Citizen to keep the government from falling into error."*** applied with my best understanding, and together my current actions against the ornery critters at large in government.

ThankU! YHWH.blessU!!

**Bruddah RobBob**

**<http://crifasi.net/strikeit>**

**[Please klik here for my effective Method for release from the Beast.](#)**

*For our information only, my humble site is dedicated those brave People, and To Iesus Cristos Deus, Whose Spirit dwells within Those brave Men and Women who have taken a stand against the oppression of the tyrants and despots who plague Our Nation. And, to my Sons and the Children of the my Fellow Countrymen, so that They may not have to suffer at the hands of the oppressor any longer.*

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: TheInhabitant <TheInhabitant@charter.net>  
 To: BarryHess@Earthlink.Net  
 Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2007 10:21:15 PM  
 Subject: Tea - Knowledge is not given, it is learned

***"It is not the function of our government to keep the Citizen from falling into error; it is the function of the Citizen to keep the government from falling into error."***

**[American Communications Ass'n v. Douds, 339](#)**

## **U.S. 382, 442 (1950).**

This commentator states the law is force, that when properly applied within the form driven legalistic lands of this state will substantiate the rule of law. The Rule of Law was constitutionally constituted by the Founding Fathers of the American Republic.

The Founding Fathers of the American Republic knew well the political bureaucracy's malleability to corruption. IN order to protect their progeny from the horrors of administrative tyranny, they perfected the rule of law.

This commentator has stated rhetorically the solution to overcoming the political bureaucracy's systemic fraud is to enter the law libraries to read the statutory texts written in black and white, wherein the studious reader will discover the rule of law.

How many times have you ventured into the local law library to sit down and read the statutory texts of the State Legislature, starting with its first legislative enactment? Therein you would discover the continuity of law substantiates its linear force. The rule of law was first statutorized under the Oak Tree at Runningmeade on June 12, 1215, wherein "evil" Prince John was encouraged to recognize the substantive rights of all Englishman. Need Proof? Read Miranda verse the State of Arizona

The rule of law empowers the singular American to substantiate their God Given Unalienable (substantive) Rights within the form driven administrative lands of the legalistic state. The first step is knowledge, that is gleaned from sitting down to learn first the English Language, and secondly legalese. This requires the interested party to invest in Dictionaries of the English Language and the Law.

Americans are cast adrift within the fictional seas of misdirection do directly to a system of publically administered behavioral modification and historical redefinition centers. These dysfunctional centers of public and parochial misdirection are symbolically called "schools of learning".

Legalese moves terms of art, which are symbols that are defined as words. Legalese is the statutory language enacted by the State legislature that regulates alienable rights. Americans are endowed by Nature's God with unalienable (private) rights. Need Proof? Read the unanimous Declaration of Independence issued by the Thirteen United Colonies of America henceforth to be known as the Thirteen United States of America.

The Browns of New Hampshire choose the tomfoolery of self deception, and kept shouting "show me the law". Well they have discovered the true meaning of suffering the insufferable. This commentator outlined the rule of law and forwarded said

commentary to the Browns. Unfortunately the Browns chose the tomfoolery of idiocy surrounded by the arrogance of stupidity promoted within the patriot community of “show me the law” nonsense.

One such promoter was Rick Stanley out of Denver Colorado, who is now serving a six year sentence do directly to his lack of comprehension on how the State docketed an administrative claim improperly in the specialized legislative agency in defiance to the due processes of administrative law. Rick Stanley encouraged the Browns to move down the road to perdition under the “show me the law” idiocy.

Another supporter of the Browns’ idiocy, Robert Schultz was unable to overcome the improper administrative prosecution of Title 26 USCA Subtitle F § 6700 claim.

Rick Stanley and Robert Schultz do not comprehend the form driven legalistic lands of the administrative state, yet were idolized by their sycophants who collectively touted the known corruption of the political bureaucracy, in lieu of learning to comprehend the due processes of statutory law stands in legal compliance to administrative procedures that substantiate public records management.

Moving within the fictional lands of the administrative state requires one to show why the other side is legally wrong. How may one prove the other party legally wrong, if they themselves have no comprehension to how the legalistic state shall substantiate its administrative jurisdiction by standing its subject matter, the administrative documentation of the public’s business in compliance to public records management?

When did you sit down and read the administrative procedures act of the State? Do you know where the court of original jurisdiction sits to adjudicate the administrative claim?

Did you read the Judiciary Act enacted on September 24<sup>th</sup>, 1789, wherein the United States in Congress of America assembled created a legislative agency it then named the Supreme Court?

Do you understand that there are no judicial courts in America? The legislative agency sits in appellate review of administrative claims, or judicial review of administrative orders.

Did you read the State’s Constitution that stated there would be a state judiciary? This State’s constitutionally constituted statutory “one court” owes its adjudicatory authority to the State legislature. Need Proof? Read the statutory enactments of the State legislature that authorizes the State Court to implement its administrative rules and procedures.

Your friend has seriously misstated fact, as the Computer Paragraph is generated by

the Automatic Collection System after the Service runs an audit of the Information Return Master File. The ACS generated a CP 515, due directly to the administrative fact that a Payor issued a collection of information which the agency accepts under the presumption of correctness. The agency is looking for an information (tax) return, which it now has presumptively declared to be delinquent.

A computer paragraph is the result of the agency opening a taxpayer delinquency investigation. The next step will be a taxpayer Delinquency Account. Your friend will become aware of that administrative turn of events when he receives the thirty day letter inclusive of an Income Tax Change Report, noted as a Form 4549.

The agency is a form driven operation of administrative law that shall move in compliance to records management. Read Title 26 CFR part 602.101

The complexity of the law substantiates the simplicity of its form driven administrative relief.

**"Liberty cannot be preserved without a general knowledge among the people, who have a right, from the frame of their nature, to knowledge, as their great Creator, who does nothing in vain, has given them understandings, and a desire to know; but besides this, they have a right, an indisputable, unalienable, indefeasible, divine right to that most dreaded and envied kind of knowledge; I mean, of the characters and conduct of their rulers."**

***-- John Adams (Dissertation on Canon and Feudal Law, 1765)***

***Reference: Our Sacred Honor, Bennett, 253.***

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_-.-.-\_\_\_\_\_